

Bounds for the b -chromatic number of some families of graphs

Mekkia Kouider¹ and Manouchehr Zaker^{2 *}

¹ L.R.I, Unite Mixte de Recherche 8623
Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France
km@lri.fr.

² Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences
45195-1159, Zanzan, Iran
mzaker@iasbs.ac.ir.

December 2004

Abstract

In this paper we obtain some upper bounds for b -chromatic number of $K_{1,t}$ -free graphs, graphs with given minimum clique partition and bipartite graphs. These bounds are in terms of either clique number or chromatic number of graphs or biclique number for bipartite graphs. We show that all the bounds are tight.

AMS Classification: 05C15.

Keywords: t -dominating coloring, b -coloring, b -chromatic number.

1 Introduction and related results

All graphs in this paper are finite, simple and undirected graphs. By clique number of a graph G we mean the largest order of a complete subgraph in G and denote it by $\omega(G)$. Also $\alpha(G)$ stands for the largest number of independent vertices in G . For other notations which are not defined here we refer the reader to [1].

*while visiting university of Orsay

An *antimatching* of a graph G is a matching of its complement.

A *proper coloring* of G is a coloring of the vertices such that any two adjacent vertices have different colors. Given a proper coloring of G , a *t -dominating set* $T = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ is a set of vertices such that T is colored by t colors and each x_i is adjacent to $t - 1$ vertices of different colors. In that case, and if G is colored by exactly t colors, we say we have a *t -dominating coloring* (or *b -coloring* with t colors). We denote by $\varphi(G)$ the maximum number t for which there exists a t -dominating set in a coloring of $V(G)$ by t colors. This parameter has been defined by Irving and Manlove [3], and is called the *b -chromatic number* of G . In a b -coloring of a graph G with b colors, any vertex v which has at least $b - 1$ neighbors with different colors is called a *representative*. We note that in any b -coloring of G with b colors there should be at least b representatives with b different colors.

It is known that $\chi(G) \leq \varphi(G) \leq \Delta + 1$. Let G be a graph with decreasing degree sequence $d(x_1) \geq d(x_2) \geq \dots \geq d(x_n)$ and let $m(G) = \max \{i : d(x_i) \geq (i - 1)\}$. In [3], the authors proved that for any graph G , $\varphi(G) \leq m(G)$ and they show that for tree T the inequality $m(T) - 1 \leq \varphi(G) \leq m(T)$ is satisfied.

Also in [3] it is shown that determining φ is NP-hard for general graphs, but polynomial for trees.

Some authors have obtained upper or lower bounds for $\varphi(G)$ when G belongs to some special families of graphs. In [5], b -chromatic number of graphs with girths five and six has been studied. Let G be a graph of girth at least 5, of minimum degree δ and of diameter D , it is shown in [5] that $\varphi(G) > \min\{\delta, D/6\}$ and that if G is d -regular, of girth at least six, then $\varphi(G) = d + 1$. In this last case the construction of a b -dominating coloring is done in a polynomial time.

Kratochvil et al. in [7] showed that for a d -regular graph G with at least d^4 vertices, $\varphi(G) = d + 1$.

In [6], Kouider and Mahéo discuss on the b -chromatic number of the cartesian product $G \square H$ of two graphs G and H . They prove that $\varphi(G \square H) \geq \varphi(G) + \varphi(H) - 1$ when G (resp. H) admits $\varphi(G)$ (resp. $\varphi(H)$) dominating set which is stable set.

We also recall the following result of Klein and Kouider [4]. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be $K_4 \setminus e$. Let G be a P_4 -free graph, then $\varphi(G) = \omega(G)$, for any induced subgraph of G if and only if G is $2\mathcal{D}$ -free and $3P_3$ -free.

The aim of this paper is to obtain an upper bound for b -chromatic number of a graph G when G is restricted to be in special families of graphs. In section 2 we consider $K_{1,t}$ -free graphs. In section 3 we give an upper bound in terms of clique number and minimum clique partition of a graph. Finally in section 4 bipartite graphs will be considered. We also show that all the bounds obtained in this paper are tight.

2 $K_{1,t}$ -free graphs

In this section we give an upper bound for the b -chromatic number of $K_{1,t}$ -free graphs, when $t \geq 3$. If $t = 2$ then the graph should be a complete graph for which the b -chromatic number is the same as chromatic number.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a $K_{1,t}$ -free graph where $t \geq 3$, then $\varphi(G) \leq (t-1)(\chi(G) - 1) + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $\varphi(G) = b$. Let C be a color class in a b -coloring of G with b colors, and let x be any representative of the class C . Among the neighbors of the vertex x there exist a set say S of $b-1$ vertices with distinct colors. Let H be the subgraph induced by S . By the hypothesis on the graph G we have $\alpha(H) \leq t-1$ and also $\chi(H) \leq \chi(G) - 1$. So

$$b-1 = |V(H)| \leq \alpha(H) \cdot \chi(H) \leq (t-1)(\chi(G) - 1).$$

Therefore $b \leq (t-1)(\chi(G) - 1) + 1$. $\square$

In the following we show that the bound of the theorem can be achieved for each t .

Proposition 1. *For any integer $t \geq 3$ and k , there exists a $K_{1,t}$ -free graph G such that $\chi(G) = k$ and $\varphi(G) = (t-1)(k-1) + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose the graph H is defined as a vertex v such that its neighbors form $t-1$ mutually disjoint cliques with $k-1$ vertices. Now we take $(t-1)(k-1) + 1$ disjoint copies of H and connect them sequentially by exactly one edge between any two consecutive copies. These edges can be incident to any vertex other than v and its copies in other copies of H . We denote the resulting graph by G . It is easily seen that G satisfies the conditions of theorem. $\square$

We have now the following immediate corollary of theorem 1.

Corollary. *If G is a claw-free graph, then $\varphi(G) \leq 2\chi(G) - 1$.*

In [2] the important fact $\chi(G) \leq 2\omega(G)$ is proved for a claw-free graph G satisfying $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, therefore using this result we obtain $\varphi(G) \leq 4\omega(G) - 1$.

3 b -coloring and minimum clique partition

In this section we give a bound for the b -chromatic number of a graph G in terms of its minimum clique partition. A clique partition for a graph G is any partition of $V(G)$ into subsets say $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ in such a way that the subgraph of G induced by C_i is a clique, for each i . We denote by $\theta(G)$ the minimum number of subsets in a clique partition of the graph G . We note that for any graph G , $\chi(\overline{G}) = \theta(G)$; also, if $\theta(G) = k$ then G is the complement of a k -partite graph. Therefore the following result applies for all graphs.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a graph with clique partition number $\theta(G) = k$ and clique number ω , then $\varphi(G) \leq \frac{k^2\omega}{2k-1}$.*

Proof. If $k = 1$ then G is complete and equality holds in the inequality of theorem. We suppose now $k \geq 2$. As $\theta(G) = k$, therefore $\alpha(G) \leq k$. Let us consider a b -coloring of G with $\varphi(G) = b$ colors. Let i_j be the number of color classes with exactly j elements. As $\alpha(G) \leq k$, we know that $i_j = 0$ for $j \geq k+1$. So we have

$$b = \sum_{j=1}^k i_j.$$

By hypothesis, there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into k complete subgraphs, therefore if n is the order of G ,

$$n = \sum_{j=1}^k j \cdot i_j = b + \sum_{j=2}^k (j-1) i_j \leq k\omega. \quad (1)$$

Suppose first that $i_1 = 0$. Then any color class in the b -coloring of G with b colors contains at least two vertices. This shows that $b \leq n/2$ and so $b \leq k\omega/2$. Finally $b \leq \frac{k^2}{2k-1}\omega$, because $\frac{k}{2} \leq \frac{k^2}{2k-1}$.

Suppose now $i_1 \geq 1$ and let $C_i = \{x_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, i_1$. Then any representative of any color j is adjacent to any x_i , where $i, j \leq i_1$ and $i \neq j$.

It follows that $\{x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}\}$ induces a complete subgraph of G . On the other hand, by the fact that there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into k cliques and the pigeon-hole principle, at least $\frac{\sum_{j=2}^k i_j}{k}$ of representative vertices form a complete graph. We know from above that any representative of any color j is adjacent to any

$x_i, i \neq j, i \leq i_1$, consequently there is a complete subgraph of at least $i_1 + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^k i_j}{k}$ vertices. We get the following inequality

$$i_1 + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^k i_j}{k} \leq \omega$$

in other words,

$$ki_1 + \sum_{j=2}^k i_j \leq k\omega. \quad (2)$$

Now we have

$$(2k-1)b = \sum_{j=1}^k (2k-1)i_j = (k-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^k ji_j\right) + ki_1 + i_2 - \sum_{j=3}^k ((k-1)j - 2k + 1)i_j \text{ for } k \geq 3,$$

or

$$(2k-1)b = (k-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^k ji_j\right) + ki_1 + i_2 \text{ for } k = 2.$$

So we have

$$(2k-1)b \leq (k-1)n + ki_1 + i_2$$

and by inequality (1),

$$(2k-1)b \leq (k-1)k\omega + ki_1 + i_2 \leq k^2\omega - k\left(\omega - i_1 - \frac{i_2}{k}\right).$$

By inequality (2),

$$(2k-1)b \leq k^2\omega.$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

Proposition 2 *For any positive integers $k \geq 2$ and ω divisible by $2k-1$, there exists a graph G with $\theta(G) = k$ and with clique number ω , such that $\varphi(G) = \frac{k^2\omega}{2k-1}$.*

Proof. In order to construct our graph we first consider three sets of mutually disjoint cliques $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$, $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$ and $\{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ where $|A_i| = \frac{\omega}{2k-1}$, $|B_i| = |C_i| = \frac{(k-1)\omega}{2k-1}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. We put an edge between any two vertices u and v in A_i and A_j for each i and j , therefore $\bigcup_i A_i$ forms a clique with $\frac{k\omega}{2k-1}$ vertices. Then we join any vertex in A_i to any vertex in B_j for each i and j ,

and also we join the vertices of A_i to all the vertices of C_i , for each i . We don't have any edge between any two vertices of B_i and B_j when $i \neq j$ and the same holds for C_i 's. Finally we put an edge between any two vertices $v \in B_i$ and $u \in C_j$ if $i \neq j$.

We color the vertices in $\bigcup_i A_i$ with $1, 2, \dots, \frac{k\omega}{2k-1}$ and the vertices of $\bigcup_i B_i$ with distinct colors $\frac{k\omega}{2k-1} + 1, \dots, \frac{k^2\omega}{2k-1}$. The colors in C_i will be the same as B_i for each i . All the vertices of $A = \bigcup_i A_i$ are representatives and the same holds for $B = \bigcup_i B_i$.

Now it is enough to show that the constructed graph G has the clique number ω . We first observe that if we identify each of cliques A_i 's, B_i 's and C_i 's with single vertices a_i 's, b_i 's and c_i 's, respectively, then we may define a graph H with $3k$ vertices with vertex set $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ where there is an edge between two vertices u and v if and only if their corresponding cliques are jointed in the graph G . Therefore to find the maximum number of vertices in a clique of the graph G , it is enough to check all cliques in H . Let us first set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$, $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ and $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. Let K be a clique in H . There are two possibilities:

1. There is no vertex from C in K . In this case K may contain all vertices in A and at most one from B , i.e. with at most $k+1$ vertices. This clique results in a clique in G with $\frac{k\omega}{2k-1} + \frac{(k-1)\omega}{2k-1} = \omega$ vertices.

2. There is one vertex from C in K . In this case K contains only one vertex from C and at most one vertex from A and one from B . And this may happen when we consider for example a_1 and its neighbor in C and a suitable vertex in B . This clique of order three results in a clique in G with $\frac{(k-1)\omega}{2k-1} + \frac{\omega}{2k-1} = \omega$ vertices.

□

The following result is an immediate corollary of theorem 2.

Corollary. *For any graph G , with clique-number $\omega(G)$,*

$$\varphi(G) \leq \frac{\chi^2(\overline{G})}{2\chi(\overline{G}) - 1} \omega(G).$$

In the case that G is the complement of a bipartite graph we have more knowledge on its b -colorings. We first introduce some special graphs which play an important role in b -colorings of the complement of bipartite graphs. Before we begin let us mention that when we say there is an anti-matching between two subsets X and Y in a graph G , it means that there exists a matching between X and Y in the complement of G .

Let G be the complement of a bipartite graph with a bipartition (X, Y) in such a way that there are partitions of X and Y into three subsets as $X = A_1 \cup B_1 \cup C_1$ and $Y = A_2 \cup B_2 \cup C_2$ such that the following properties hold:

1. Any vertex in A_1 is adjacent to any vertex in $A_2 \cup B_2$, hence the subgraph induced by $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_2$ in G is a clique. Also any vertex in A_2 is adjacent to any vertex in C_1 .
2. $|B_1| = |B_2|$ and there is a perfect anti-matching between B_1 and B_2 .
3. $|C_1| = |C_2|$ and there is a perfect anti-matching between C_1 and C_2 .

In this case by letting $b = |A_1 \cup A_2| + |B_1| + |C_1| = |X| + |A_2|$, we say G belongs to the family $\mathcal{A}_b$. In fact $\mathcal{A}_b$ consists of all the complement of bipartite graphs G which admits the above-mentioned properties. Let us remark that $\varphi(G) \geq b$ for any graph G belonging to $\mathcal{A}_b$. In fact, we color $X \cup A_2$ with different colors; using the antimatchings, we give to B_2 the same colors as B_1 , and to C_2 the same colors as C_1 .

Theorem 3. *Let G be the complement of a bipartite graph, then $\varphi(G) \leq \frac{4\omega}{3}$. Furthermore, there is a b -coloring for G with b colors if and only if G is in $\mathcal{A}_b$.*

Proof. The inequality $\varphi(G) \leq \frac{4\omega}{3}$ follows from theorem 2 where we put $k = 2$.

If G is in $\mathcal{A}_b$ then by the comment before theorem 3 there is a b -coloring for G with b colors.

Suppose now we have a b -coloring for $G = (X, Y; E)$ with b colors $\{1, 2, \dots, b\}$. Let the color classes be $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_b$ and without loss of generality we may suppose that $|U_i| = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Therefore $|U_i| = 2$ for $i > t$. Set $A_1 = X \cap \cup_{i=1}^t U_i$ and $A_2 = Y \cap \cup_{i=1}^t U_i$.

Let $u_i, i = t+1, \dots, s$ be the representatives contained in X and they form a set B_1 ; let $u_i, i = s+1, \dots, b$ be the remaining representatives, these are by definition in $Y \setminus A_2$ and they form a set C_2 . As each color class for $i \geq t+1$, has exactly 2 elements, there exists a set B_2 in Y with $|B_2| = |B_1|$ with the same colors as B_1 . Similarly there exists a set C_1 in Y with $|C_2| = |C_1|$ with the same colors as C_2 .

There are perfect anti-matchings, one between B_1 and B_2 and another between C_1 and C_2 . By the property of being representative for each element of $B_1 \cup C_2$, and by the unicity of the elements colored by the colors of $A_1 \cup A_2$, $A_1 \cup C_2$ is a clique, $A_2 \cup B_1$ is also a clique. Considering now the partitions $X = A_1 \cup B_1 \cup C_1$ and $Y = A_2 \cup B_2 \cup C_2$ we conclude that G belongs to $\mathcal{A}_b$. $\square$

We get easily the following consequence.

Corollary. *Let G be the complement of a bipartite graph. Then*

$$\varphi(G) = b$$

if and only if $\max\{k : G \in \mathcal{A}_k\} = b$.

Let us remark that for the larger class of graphs G with $\alpha(G) = 2$, there is no linear bound for b -chromatic number (even for chromatic number) in terms of $\omega(G)$ because, as pointed out in [2], for each k there is a graph G with $\alpha(G) = 2$ such that $\chi(G) \geq k/2$ and $\omega(G) = o(k)$.

4 Bipartite graphs

In this chapter we suppose G is a bipartite graph. In the following by the *biclique number* of G we mean the minimum number of mutually disjoint complete bipartite graphs which cover the vertices of G . Any subgraph of G which is complete bipartite graph is called a *biclique* of G .

Theorem 4. *Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) , on n vertices and biclique number t . Then*

$$\varphi(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n - t + 4}{2} \rfloor.$$

Proof. We first prove the theorem for graphs $G = (X, Y)$ which admits a b -coloring with $b = \varphi(G)$ colors where there is at least one representative in X and also one in Y . Let these representatives be $v \in X$ and $u \in Y$. Then v has at least $b - 1$ neighbors in Y and also u has at least $b - 1$ neighbors in X . These give us two bicliques with cardinality at least $2b - 2$ and at most $2b$. As t is the biclique number of G there should be at least $t - 2$ vertices in G . Therefore $n \geq 2b - 2 + t - 2$ and so $b \leq \frac{n - t + 4}{2}$.

Now we may suppose that in a b -coloring of G , all the representatives are in a same part say X . Let i_j be the number of color classes in the b -coloring with exactly j colors in part Y . There are two possibilities.

Suppose first that $i_1 \geq 1$. Let w be the vertex in any color class with cardinality one in the part Y . Then w belongs to Y and has $b - 1$ neighbors which are representatives of different colors. So w is representative. This is a contradiction with the hypothesis on X .

Now let p be the minimum number with $i_p \neq 0$. So $p \geq 2$. We have $n \geq b + bp = b(p + 1)$. We may suppose at this stage that all vertices in X are representatives and of different colors, and, also any vertex y in Y is adjacent to, at least, some

representative and is the unique vertex of color $c(y)$ of this representative. Otherwise, if we delete those vertices in X which are not representatives and also vertices in Y without the previous property, we prove the inequality of the theorem, for the resulting graph G' . Let $n - l$ be its order. We have

$$\varphi(G') \leq \frac{n - l - t' + 4}{2}.$$

As the inequality $t \leq t' + l$ holds, it can be seen easily that we get

$$\varphi(G') \leq \frac{n - t + 4}{2}.$$

We also have, by construction of G' , $\varphi(G) \leq \varphi(G')$.

So it is enough to prove the theorem for the case where all the vertices in X are representative and any vertex in Y is adjacent to some representative. By these hypothesis, and as the coloring is proper, we have $t \leq b$. Finally since $n \geq b(p + 1)$ and $p \geq 2$ then $2b \leq n - (p - 1)b \leq n - b \leq n - t$. Therefore $b \leq \frac{n - t}{2}$. $\square$

Proposition 3. *For any integer $p \geq 3$, there is a bipartite graph G with $n = 3p - 4$ vertices and biclique number $t = p - 1$ such that $b(G) = p = \lfloor \frac{n - t + 4}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. We first consider a complete bipartite graph $K_{p-1, p-1}$ minus a matching with size $p - 2$. We color one part say X of this graph with $1, 3, 4, \dots, p$ and other part say Y with $2, 3, 4, \dots, p$ so that vertices with colors 1 and 2 are adjacent. Then we add $p - 2$ extra vertices to the part X and color all of them with 2. Now put a matching with size $p - 2$ between these extra vertices in X and all the vertices in Y except the one colored by 2. The resulting graph G is a graph of order $n = 3p - 4$ with a b -coloring with p colors. In fact, $b(G)$ is exactly equal to p because $\Delta(G) = p - 1$. By the precedent theorem,

$$b(G) \leq \frac{n - t + 4}{2}.$$

It is then enough to show that $t \geq p - 1$ to get the reverse inequality. Because there are $p - 2$ vertices with degree one, at least $p - 2$ cliques are required to cover these vertices. We observe that we need an extra clique to cover the vertex colored by 2 in Y . Now we get the equality $b(G) = \lfloor \frac{n - t + 4}{2} \rfloor$. $\square$

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., 1976.

- [2] M. Chundovsky and P. D. Seymour, *The structure of claw-free graphs*, manuscript 2004.
- [3] I. W. Irving and D. F. Manlove, *The b -chromatic number of a graph*, *Discrete Applied Math.*, 91 (1999), 127-141.
- [4] S. Klein and M. Kouider, *b -coloration and P_4 -free graphs*, manuscript.
- [5] M. Kouider, *b -chromatic number, subgraphs and degrees*, submitted.
- [6] M. Kouider and M. Mahéo, *Some bounds for the b -chromatic number of a graph*, *Discrete Math.*, 256 (2002), 267–277.
- [7] J. Kratochvil, Zs. Tuza, and M. Voigt, *On the b -chromatic number of graphs*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Berlin, 2573 (2002), 310–320.